

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Λην

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/801,983	03/07/2001	Bob Ebert	3524.PALM.PSI	5877	
	7590 04/10/2007 SOCIATES P.C.	EXAMINER			
9255 SUNSET	BOULEVARD	ZHOU, TING			
SUITE 810 LOS ANGELES, CA 90069			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	-,		2173		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/10/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary Examiner Ting Zhou Ting			[,	Application No.	-	Applicant(s)			
Ting Zhou 2173 The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ***SHORT MICHING BATE of the maining date of this communication.** History period for reply is specified above, the reasonment statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. **HIP Operiod for reply is specified above, the reasonment statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. **HIP Operiod for reply is specified above, the reasonment statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. **HIP Operiod for reply is specified above, the reasonment statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. **HIP Operiod for reply is specified above, the reasonment application of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication. **HIP Operiod for reply is specified above, the reasonment of the communication of the communication. **HIP Operiod for reply is specified above.** **HIP OPERIOD FOR THE MILL OPERIOD FOR THE ADDRESS OF THE MILL OPERIOD FOR THE ADDRESS OF THE ADDRESS OF THE MILL OPERIOD FOR THE ADDRESS OF THE ADDRESS OF THE MILL OPERIOD FOR THE MILL OPERIOD FOR THE ADDRESS OF THE MILL OPERIOD FOR THE MILL OPERIOD FOR THE ADDRESS OF THE MILL OPERIOD F	Office Action Summary			09/801,983		EBERT ET AL.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extentions to the major be writted under the provised will apply and we spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malting date of this communication of the provised by the spire store of the spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malting date of this communication. Pallute to reply the inhal set of servinded prior for February vision and set of the communication, even if simply filed. The spire of the spire six (8) MONTHS from the malting date of this communication, even if simply filed, may reduce any search palment in plagerance. See 7 JCR 1-7/80. Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0.5 February 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-22 Is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 □ Claim(s) 1-23 and 8-10 is/are allowed. 6 □ Claim(s) 5. 2 and 1-12 Is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 □ Claim(s) 5. 3 and 8-10 is/are allowed. 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some • c) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			Ī	Examiner		Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of tense may be available under the provisions of 37 °CR 1.38(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - Extensions of tense may be available under the provisions of 37 °CR 1.38(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - Extensions of tense may be available under the provisions of 37 °CR 1.38(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. It is additionable to the communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. It is additionable to the communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. It is additionable to the communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. It is additionable to the communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. It is additionable to the communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. It is additionable to the communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period to reply will. It is additionable to the communication. - Failure to reply within the mail to reply will. It is additionable to the communication. - Failure to reply within the mail to reply will. It is additionable to the communication. - Failure to reply within the mail to reply will be reply and the communication. - Failure to reply within the set of the communication. - Failure to reply within the mail to reply will be additionable to the mail to the communication. - Failure to reply within the mail to reply will be added to set of the mail to the communication. - Failure to reply within the mail to reply will be added to set of the particular place. - Claim(s) 1.2 It also a failure to reply will be added to set of the particular place. - Claim(s) 1.2									
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editations of time may be available used the provided and a 37 cFt 1:18(a). In a event, however, may a neph be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communications of the provided of the communication of the provided of the			nication appea	ars on the cover shee	et with the co	orrespondence ad	ddress		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 February 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ions of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum sto reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMMU (a). In no event, however, ma apply and will expire SIX (6) ause the application to become	JNICATION ay a reply be tim MONTHS from the ABANDONED	J. ely filed the mailing date of this of O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
2a) This action is FINAL. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4	Status								
2a) This action is FINAL. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-10 is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 6.7 and 11-27 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○ Note of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Maid Date. Paper Nots/Maid Date. 5 Notice of Informat Patent Application	1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>05 Feb</u>	ruary 2007.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	·	<u> </u>							
Al)	3) 🗌 🖇	,—							
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Paper No(s) Main Date. 2) Paper No(s) Main Date. 3)	c	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 8-10</u> is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) <u>6.7 and 11-27</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Partisperson's Partient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Dispositio	n of Claims							
5) Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6,7 and 11-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	4) 🛛 (
Solution (S) 6.7 and 11-27 is/are rejected. The claim(S) is/are objected to. Solution (S) is/are objected to. Solution (S) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(S) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(S) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(S) including the correction is required if the drawing(S) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(S) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(S) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	4								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	5)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 8-10</u> is/are allowe	d.				<i>:</i>		
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>6,7 and 11-27</u> is/are reject	ed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.					?		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election requirement					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informat Patent Application	Applicatio	n Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Information Patent Application	9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application	10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are	: а) 🗌 ассер	oted or b) objected	d to by the E	Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			•			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1. Voltage of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1. Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	`								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	A44 - 4								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informat Patent Application									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			PTO-948)						
	3) Inform								

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

The Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 5 February 2007 under 37 CFR
 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/801,983 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

2. The amendments filed on 5 February 2007, submitted with the filing of the RCE have been received and entered. Claims 1-27 as amended are pending in the application.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-5 and 8-10 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The present invention teaches an attention manager for managing a plurality of outstanding attention requests from applications. Independent claim 1 identifies the distinct feature of automatically displaying the notification dialog on top an on-screen display that is generated by a second application that is active and simultaneously deleting from memory one or more outstanding attention requests that have been cleared and no longer need the user's attention. The closest prior art, Vong et al. U.S. Patent 6,209,011 (hereinafter "Vong") and Chari et al. U.S. Patent 6,553,416 (hereinafter "Chari") teaches a portable electronic device that manages attention requests and notifications from a plurality of applications. In the case of the Vong reference, Vong teaches receiving a first attention request (call) from an alarm manager associated with a first application that is associated with a first record entry when the first record entry requires attention from a user

(when the first record entry requires attention from a user, or when it is 8:00AM and the 8:00 AM alarm for the calendar application requires attention from the user, the notification manager receives this attention request from the alarm manager) (Vong. column 7, lines 32-44), automatically storing the first attention request in a memory when the first record entry requires attention from the user (when the first record entry requires attention from a user, or when it is 8:00AM and the 8:00 AM alarm for the calendar application requires attention from the user, the request is sent to the notification manager which is loaded in the memory of the computer system) (Vong: column 5, lines 8-19), automatically sending a first request for information to the first application when the first record entry requires attention from the user, the information associated with the first record entry (when the first record entry requires attention from a user, or when it is 8:00AM and the 8:00AM alarm for the calendar application requires attention from the user, the interrupt manager sends this request to the first application, or the notification manager to be executed) (Vong: column 7, lines 32-44), creating a notification dialog for displaying the information, wherein the first application generates and fills in the information in the notification dialog when the first record entry requires attention from the user (when the first record entry requires attention from a user, or when it is 8:00AM and the 8:00AM alarm for the calendar application requires attention from the user, the notification manager checks to see how the user wants to be notified of the alarm and notifies the user accordingly; for example, if the user wishes to be notified by a dialog display, the notification system can create a dialog box displaying alarm information, as shown in Figure 7), and automatically displaying the notification dialog on top of an on-screen display that is generated by a second application that is active (when the notification manager is notified by the alarm manager that it is 8:00AM and an

Page 4

Art Unit: 2173

8:00 AM attention request is pending, the notification manager displays the alarm on top of the current display on the screen, demonstrated by flashing and/or displaying a dialog box alarm containing the notification information while other applications are running) (Vong: column 5, lines 8-17, column 7, lines 14-31 and column 8, lines 16-30). In the case of the Chari reference, Chari teaches the deletion of notifications (Chari: column 12, lines 10-28 and Figure 4A). However, although Chari teaches the deletion of notifications, the user must select each notification to be deleted, instead of deleting the notification simultaneously as the notification is displayed; in other words, the prior art fail to teach determining if outstanding requests have not been cleared, displays a list of them, and then facilitates *simultaneous* deletion of those requests. Therefore, the prior art fail to anticipate or render the above limitations obvious. Claims 2-5 and 8-10 are dependent upon claim 1 and are allowable for similar reasons.

- 5. Claims 6-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 6-7, 21-24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "said electronic handheld device" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 7 is dependent upon claim 6 and is rejected for similar reasons.

Claim 21 recites the limitation "said electronic device" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 22 is dependent upon claim 21 and is rejected for similar reasons.

Claim 23 recites the limitation "said electronic handheld device" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 24 is dependent upon claim 23 and is rejected for similar reasons.

Claim 27 recites the limitation "said electronic device" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 11-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vong et al. U.S. Patent 6,209,011 (hereinafter "Vong") and Chari et al. U.S. Patent 6,553,416 (hereinafter "Chari").

Referring to claim 11, Vong teaches a method of notification that alerts users of an event (Vong: column 1, lines 63-66, column 3, lines 62-67 and Figure 3). Specifically, this method is capable of receiving multiple attention requests from multiple applications (attention manager handles multiple notification requests from multiple applications) (Vong: column 5, lines 8-19) including a first attention request from a first application that is associated with a first record entry when the first record entry requires attention from a user (when the first record entry requires attention from a user, or when it is 8:00AM and the 8:00 AM alarm for the calendar application requires attention from the user, the notification manager receives this attention request from the alarm manager) (Vong: column 7, lines 32-44), automatically storing the first attention request in a memory when the first record entry requires attention from the user (when the first record entry requires attention from a user, or when it is 8:00AM and the 8:00 AM alarm for the calendar application requires attention from the user, the request is sent to the notification manager which is loaded in the memory of the computer system) (Vong: column 5, lines 8-19), determining a plurality of outstanding attention requests wherein each are associated with a corresponding record entry and a corresponding application, each of the outstanding attention requests still requiring attention from the user, the plurality of outstanding attention requests

including the first attention request (ability to determine and handle a plurality of attention requests from multiple applications requiring attention from the user; this can also include the first attention request, which can be snoozed, and therefore, still requiring attention from the user) (Vong: column 8, lines 20-24 and 31-33), automatically sending a first request for information to the first application, the information being associated with the first record entry and is dependent on the number of outstanding attention requests being managed (a plurality of alarms can be set for 8:00AM and therefore, when the hardware clock determines that it is 8:00AM, the alarm manager can send a plurality of notifications to the notification manager) (Vong: column 7, lines 24-31 and column 8, lines 31-39), creating a first notification dialog for displaying the information, wherein the first application generates and fills in the information in the notification dialog when the first record entry requires attention from the user (when the first record entry requires attention from a user, or when it is 8:00AM and the 8:00AM alarm for the calendar application requires attention from the user, the notification manager checks to see how the user wants to be notified of the alarm and notifies the user accordingly; for example, if the user wishes to be notified by a dialog display, the notification system can create a dialog box displaying alarm information, as shown in Figure 7), automatically displaying the first notification dialog on top of an on-screen display associated with a second application that is active (when the notification manager is notified by the alarm manager that it is 8:00AM and an 8:00 AM attention request is pending, the notification manager displays the alarm on top of the current display on the screen, demonstrated by flashing and/or displaying a dialog box alarm containing the notification information while other applications are running) (Vong: column 5, lines 8-17, column 7, lines 14-31 and column 8, lines 16-30); and receiving a request to clear a

current attention request from the plurality of attention requests, the current attention request taken from the plurality of outstanding attention requests (clearing from the display, i.e. turning off, the current outstanding attention request, i.e. the displayed notification alarm, once the user acknowledges he is aware of the notification, via pressing the snooze button for example) (Vong: column 3, lines 65-67, column 7, lines 62-65 and column 8, lines 16-30). However, although Vong teaches the deactivation of requests when the user acknowledges the notification alert (Vong: column 3, lines 65-67 and column 7, lines 62-65), Vong fails to explicitly teach deleting from memory any outstanding attention requests that has been cleared and no longer needs user's attention. Chari teaches a method and system for managing alerts similar to that of Vong. In addition, Chari further teaches the deletion of notifications (Chari: column 11, line 53 - column 12, line 28 and Figure 4A). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having teachings of Vong and Chari before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the notification system and method of Vong to include the ability to delete notifications, as taught by Chari. One would have been motivated to make such a combination to delete requests that are no longer active and in need of attention in order to conserve memory space, time and bandwidth, especially on a small-screen device such as a personal digital assistant or cellular phone.

Referring to claim 12, Vong, as modified, teach receiving a display request (notification request) to display a selected record entry associated with a selected attention request from the plurality of outstanding attention requests (request to display a notification alarm), automatically switching from the second application (user interface allowing users to schedule an event notification) to the third application associated with the selected record entry (notification

Art Unit: 2173

mechanism responsible for displaying scheduled notifications), displaying the attention request (turning on the LED or displaying the dialog box) and providing user interface with the selected record entry through the second application (Vong: column 2, lines 24-26 and 52-58, and column 5, lines 8-18 and 37-42).

Referring to claim 13, Vong, as modified, teach automatically launching the third application (the notification application is automatically activated upon the occurrence of an event) and automatically sending the display request to the third application (after the user schedules an event, the calendar application automatically calls the notification application with the request) (Vong: column 3, lines 60-65 and column 7, lines 14-30).

Referring to claims 14 and 15, Vong, as modified, teach receiving a request from the user to clear an attention request (delete an alert) and deleting that alert from memory (ability to delete a plurality of alerts) (Chari: column 12, lines 13-20).

Referring to claim 16, Vong, as modified, teach receiving a request to suspend the plurality of outstanding attention requests, suspending each of the plurality of outstanding attention requests for a predetermined period of time (rescheduling the alarm for an additional five-minute period), reactivating the second application and displaying the plurality of outstanding attention requests after a predetermined period of time has elapsed in the second notification dialog (redisplaying the alarm after the five-minute snooze time has elapsed) (Vong: column 8, lines 20-30 and further illustrated in Figure 7).

Referring to claim 17, Vong, as modified, teach invoking (displaying) an alarm simultaneously with the display of the dialog box and the alarm taken from a group consisting essentially of an audible alarm (audio device), a visual alarm (light), a vibrator (vibration

device), a flashing LED and flashing the notification dialog (Vong: column 4, lines 4-15, column 6, lines 45-57 and column 8, lines 16-30).

Referring to claim 18, Vong teaches a system in an electronic device comprising a processor, memory unit, display screen and a notification system that alerts users of an event (Vong: column 1, lines 63-66, column 3, lines 62-67 and Figure 3). Specifically, this system is capable of receiving multiple attention requests (attention manager handles multiple notification requests from multiple applications) (Vong: column 5, lines 8-19) including a first attention request (call) from an alarm manager associated with a first application that is associated with a first record entry when the first record entry requires attention from a user (when the first record entry requires attention from a user, or when it is 8:00AM and the 8:00 AM alarm for the calendar application requires attention from the user, the notification manager receives this attention request from the alarm manager) (Vong: column 7, lines 32-44), automatically storing the first attention request in a memory when the first record entry requires attention from the user (when the first record entry requires attention from a user, or when it is 8:00AM and the 8:00 AM alarm for the calendar application requires attention from the user, the request is sent to the notification manager which is loaded in the memory of the computer system) (Vong: column 5, lines 8-19), automatically sending a first request for information to the first application when the first record entry requires attention from the user, the information associated with the first record entry (when the first record entry requires attention from a user, or when it is 8:00AM and the 8:00AM alarm for the calendar application requires attention from the user, the interrupt manager sends this request to the first application, or the notification manager to be executed) (Vong: column 7, lines 32-44), creating a notification dialog for displaying the information,

wherein the first application generates and fills in the information in the notification dialog when the first record entry requires attention from the user (when the first record entry requires attention from a user, or when it is 8:00AM and the 8:00AM alarm for the calendar application requires attention from the user, the notification manager checks to see how the user wants to be notified of the alarm and notifies the user accordingly; for example, if the user wishes to be notified by a dialog display, the notification system can create a dialog box displaying alarm information, as shown in Figure 7), and automatically displaying the notification dialog on top of an on-screen display that is generated by a second application that is active (when the notification manager is notified by the alarm manager that it is 8:00AM and an 8:00 AM attention request is pending, the notification manager displays the alarm on top of the current display on the screen, demonstrated by flashing and/or displaying a dialog box alarm containing the notification information while other applications are running) (Vong: column 5, lines 8-17, column 7, lines 14-31 and column 8, lines 16-30). However, although Vong teaches the deactivation of requests when the user acknowledges the notification alert (Vong: column 3, lines 65-67 and column 7, lines 62-65), Vong fails to explicitly teach deleting from memory any outstanding attention requests that has been cleared and no longer needs user's attention. Chari teaches a method and system for managing alerts similar to that of Vong. In addition, Chari further teaches the deletion of notifications (Chari: column 11, line 53 - column 12, line 28 and Figure 4A). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having teachings of Vong and Chari before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the notification system and method of Vong to include the ability to delete notifications, as taught by Chari. One would have been motivated to make such a combination to delete requests that are no longer active and

in need of attention in order to conserve memory space, time and bandwidth, especially on a small-screen device such as a personal digital assistant or cellular phone.

Referring to claim 19, Vong, as modified, disclose determining a plurality of outstanding attention requests, each associated with a corresponding record entry and a corresponding application, each of outstanding attention requests still requiring attention from the user, the plurality of outstanding requests including the first attention request (ability to determine and handle a plurality of attention requests from multiple applications requiring attention from the user; this can also include the first attention request, which can be snoozed, and therefore, still requiring attention from the user) (Vong: column 8, lines 20-24 and 31-33).

Referring to claim 20, Vong, as modified, teach deletion from memory each of the plurality of outstanding attention requests that have been cleared and no longer need user's attention (Chari: column 12, lines 10-28 and Figure 4A).

Referring to claims 21-22, Vong fails to explicitly teach the ability to request and view information regarding the attention requests in a list format. As can be seen from Figure 6, Chari shows the display of a log window containing the list of alerts that are still active. Therefore, if there are active attention requests, they can be determined and displayed on a display screen via a notification dialog that contains a list of these alerts, as recited in column 7, lines 37-46. Having the teachings of Vong and Chari before him at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the notification system and method of Vong to include the list format display of active notifications, as taught by Chari. It would have been advantageous for one to utilize such a combination to allow the users to view and keep track of all active notification alerts together in a log file.

Referring to claims 23-26, while Vong fails to explicitly teach the ability to request and view the attention request information in detailed format and the singular display of the details of the attention requests. As can be seen in Figure 5, Chari shows the display of one detailed notification dialog (alert screen) that contains all the details regarding the alert, i.e., the date, time, and description of the alert. Therefore, this shows detailed information regarding a notification can be gathered and singularly displayed on the screen as a detailed notification dialog. It would then have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the detailed format of display for the alerts can be requested whether the plurality of attention requests contain every request except the first attention request, as is the case in claims 6, 7, 23 and 24, or only the first attention request, as is the case in claims 8, 9, 25 and 26. Having the teachings of Vong and Chari before him at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the notification system and method of Vong to include. the singular display of detailed notification dialogs, as taught by Chari. It would have been advantageous for one to utilize such a combination to allow users to view all the details related to an alert in a single window display.

Referring to claim 27, Vong, as modified, teach a method and system in which the electronic device is a palm sized computer system (portable handheld computing device) (Vong: column 1, lines 63-64).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 2/5/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive:

Art Unit: 2173

10. The applicant argues that in Chari, the user must select each one of the notifications and click on a button that activates the deletion, whereas the present invention determines if outstanding requests have not been cleared, displays a list of them, and then facilitates simultaneous deletion of those requests. However, the examiner respectfully notes that independent claims 11 and 18 do not contain a limitation stating that the attention requests that have been cleared are deleted *simultaneously* upon display of the notification requests; in other words, the claims do not exclude the deletion of notification requests by user selection. Vong teaches that attention requests can be deactivated so that it no longer needs the user's attention (Vong: column 3, lines 65-67 and column 7, lines 62-65); Chari further teaches that attention requests can be deleted from memory. Therefore, the examiner respectfully maintains that the combination of Vong and Chari teaches the deletion from memory of one or more outstanding attention requests that have been cleared and no longer requires the user's attention.

Page 14

11. The applicant further argues that the combination of Vong and Chari is improper and would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as neither reference explicitly recites the motivation to combine the references as suggested. The examiner respectfully disagrees. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir.

1992). In this case, both Vong and Chari teach an interface for managing and displaying alerts to the user. Chari states that prior art systems for displaying alerts take up and waste valuable time and bandwidth, as recited in column 2, lines 39-63; Chari also states that by displaying every alert, the server manager is taking up valuable bandwidth on the network, increasing the amount of traffic already on the network and decreases the performance of each computer, in column 2, lines 57-65. Furthermore, Chari states that a major goal in the computer network industry today is to reduce the amount and size of traffic on the network (column 2, lines 62-63), which is the goal Chari is trying to achieve. Therefore, Chari specifically provides the motivation of achieving the goal of reducing the amount of traffic on the network and the desire to remedy prior art deficiencies in order to conserve bandwidth and improve system processing time; Chari teaches achieving this goal by selectively disabling and deleting items such as notifications (column 3, lines 21-23 and column 12, lines 10-28). In addition, it is generally known to one skilled in the art, that deletion of items from memory frees up space in memory storage and therefore improves processing time. In view of the above arguments, the examiner maintains that the invention achieved by combining the teachings of Vong and Chari (i.e. a notification system that allows notifications to be deleted) would have been obvious at the time the invention was made, in order to achieve a major goal in the computer industry, as explicitly stated by Chari.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ting Zhou whose telephone number is (571) 272-4058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached at (571) 272-4048. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TZ

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100